```
Page 567
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2.
 3
      CYPRESS HOLDINGS, III, L.P.,
                                              Case No.
                                           22-cv-01243(LGS)
 4
      individually and derivatively
      on behalf of SPORT-BLX, INC.,
5
                    Plaintiff,
6
                                               VIDEOTAPED
           -77-
                                            VIDEOCONFERENCE
7
      GEORGE HALL, JOSEPH DE PERIO,
                                            DEPOSITION UPON
      DANIEL STRAUSS, FRANCIS
                                           ORAL EXAMINATION
8
      RUCHALSKI, CESAR BAEZ,
                                                   OF
      CHRISTOPHER JOHNSON,
                                             GEORGE HALL
9
      SPORT-BLX, INC., SPORT-BLX
                                              (Vol. III)
      SECURITIES, INC., CLINTON
10
      GROUP INC., and GLASSBRIDGE
      ENTERPRISES, INC.,
11
                    Defendants.
12
      SPORT-BLX, INC., individually Case No: and derivatively on behalf of 1:22-cv-8111(LGS)
13
      its shareholders,
14
                    Plaintiff,
15
           -v-
16
      MICHAEL M. SALERNO and
17
      CYPRESS HOLDINGS, III, L.P.,
                    Defendants.
18
19
      *** CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER ***
20
             T R A N S C R I P T of testimony taken
      remotely stenographically by and before MARGARET
21
      VOLLMUTH-CORSON, a Certified Court Reporter of the
      State of New Jersey, pursuant to Federal Rules
22
      Governing Civil Procedures, witness appearing from
23
      the offices of MORVILLO ABRAMOWITZ GRAND IASON &
      ANELLO, P.C., 565 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York,
24
      on Thursday, June 29, 2023, commencing at
      approximately 10:03 a.m.
25
      Job No. NJ5987116
```

articulated to the board of leaving this demand note outstanding would be lessened if -- if GlassBridge had a -- had a bigger position.

2.

2.2

The other reason was that by having a bigger position, it would help with what I think I called Phase 2 of the Orix transaction, which would enure benefit to Sport-BLX.

And I think, as I said last time, this was effectively a first step towards taking the company public through a reverse merger as opposed to an IPO. Which going back to the original FAQs, I think it refers to an IPO as potential end goal for this company. So there were a lot of advantages to Sport-BLX to have GlassBridge own a majority.

- Q. Mr. Hall, you referred to a Phase 2 with Orix. What were you referring to when you said that?
- A. So Phase 1 was Orix wanted to acquire a minority position in Imation, which was a subsidiary of GlassBridge. Based on the change of control rules, they could only purchase approximately 20 percent at the time. There was the ability to purchase more sometime in early to mid 2020 as more what we call headroom became available, and they could purchase more without causing a

Page 686 I don't recall this particular chart. 1 Α. 2. O. Are you familiar with the entities depicted in this chart, the GlassBridge entities? 3 Basically. I have some general 4 Α. 5 understanding, --Okay. Do you know --6 Ο. 7 Α. -- yes. Well, do you know what -- what is Adara 8 O. Enterprises Corp.? 9 10 In previous questions I referred to 11 Imation, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of GlassBridge, that 20 -- a little over 20 percent of 12 13 it was sold to Orix. Orix changed the name to Adara 14 Enterprises from Imation. 15 Do you have any knowledge as to what 16 Adara Enterprises and Adara Asset Management do? 17 MR. SACK: Do or did? Can you just 18 clarify the time period? 19 MR. PEARLSON: Fair enough. 20 As of -- as of April 2020 were you Ο. 21 familiar with what Adara Enterprises and Adara Asset 2.2 Management did? 23 Adara Asset Management -- I believe Α. 24 Adara Asset Management was technically the manager of the P.J. Washington -- or the entity that funded 25

Page 701 the balance sheet of this SPV. 1 Okay. And -- and one of the things it 2. Ο. 3 acquired in addition to acquiring the debt, it also acquired shares in Sport-BLX? 4 5 MR. SACK: Objection to form. I -- that's what this says, and I 6 Α. 7 haven't thought about this in a while, but that -that looks correct. 8 9 Ο. What -- what was the status of 10 Sport-BLX's business in July of 2020? 11 Sport-BLX, around that time, was 12 continuing to focus on its technology and to --13 around that time signed a subscription agreement, what we called a subscription agreement with 14 Sport-BLX Securities. 15 16 Was Sport-BLX's activity at that time 17 limited to trying to license its source code and platform? 18 19 Well, it was limited to licensing it Α. 20 to Sport-BLX Securities. There was really no other 21 market that would have been practical that we knew 2.2 about to license it to anybody else. Okay. Were there any efforts made to 23 Ο. license it to anybody else? 24

25 A. I did try to use it as collateral with

2.

2.2

Page 702

the software company, but basically didn't think it was really worth -- that the source code was really worth anything significant, but there's lots of things that I don't do when I make a judgment that -- we'll call it a fool's errand. Nobody would license this technology at the stage it was at for the purpose it was created unless it was somebody affiliated with -- with it that -- or a related party that understood the business, understood what it was -- the technology was built for and how it might be used.

- Q. Can you tell us what is GEH Sport, LLC?
- A. I think that's the ultimate name of the entity that was referred to previously.

MR. PEARLSON: Okay. If we could look at Hall-95 for identification.

(Exhibit Hall-95, Four-page Assignment Agreement between GEH Capital, LLC and Adara Enterprises Corp. dated 20th day of July, 2020, Bates stamped SPORTBLX0273641 through 273644, is marked for identification.)

Q. This is an Assignment Agreement. It looks like it's dated about the same time as the restructuring is going on, and it's between GEH Capital, LLC and Adara Enterprises Corp. Do you

Q. Okay. And if we turn to GBE_0014757, which is Schedule 1, that shows that the price per share was \$2.00, correct?

A. Correct.

2.2

- Q. Do you know how the \$2.00 -- the price of \$2.00 per share was arrived at in terms of this purchase by FinTech?
- A. I think it was all part of the bigger transaction, multiple pieces to -- to have GlassBridge buy back the debt that it owed to Joe and myself and for Joe and I to form an entity to buy the demand note from Sport-BLX and the stock from Sport-BLX. So it was all an -- all of these prices worked together to get us to an end result.
- Q. Okay. But do you know how the price -- specifically price per share of \$2.00 was arrived at?
- A. Specifically \$2.00 as opposed to \$3.00? I don't think there was a specific calculation that would give you a -- an answer in that fine a detail.
- Q. Well, as of December of 2021 what was the status of Sport-BLX's business?
- A. The -- we were -- well, as of December of 2021 we had just effectively cancelled the

2.

2.2

Page 720

capital raise that we were attempting to do when a shareholder made out allegations about siphoning money from the company, so we had to put that on hold until those allegations were reported to the board and investigated and vetted by the board. But at the same time, Sport-BLX was potentially going to have -- Sport-BLX, Incorporated was potentially going to get a significant value from consummating the transaction with P.J. Washington, which at that time I think would have been a significant amount of value to Sport-BLX, Inc.

- Q. How far did the transaction with P.J. Washington progress? Was there ever any draft agreements?
- A. Yeah, we -- yes, we had draft -- we had agreements. So we had firm agreements with P.J. Washington, and I was in a number of discussions with selling those shares to third party investors. So we were pretty close to consummating that transaction and actually leading to a reasonable amount of revenue for a reasonable increase in asset value for Sport-BLX.
 - O. And that was as of December of 2021?
- A. Well, it was the -- we had to -- I think it was in roughly November of 2021 that we had

Page 721 to -- maybe even October that we -- I felt we had to 1 2. cancel the -- the fund raise that we were doing for 3 Sport-BLX, Incorporated, so it was a little bit before this time. 4 5 And what happened with the P.J. 6 Washington agreement? 7 Α. Well, I was negotiating and talking to a number of parties about making the investment and 8 9 -- and that was December or January, and then once 10 the -- the company was sued, it was -- it was 11 impossible to get the transaction done. 12 Okay. And in terms of the -- so in --Ο. 13 as we saw, in December of 2019 GlassBridge purchased your shares and Mr. De Perio's shares for \$355.00 14 15 per share, correct? 16 MR. SACK: Objection to the form. 17 I understand what you're saying. Α. 18 That's not exactly how I look at it, but yes. 19 Okay. And then two years later they --Ο. 20 they sold their shares for \$2.00 per share, correct? 21 Α. That's way out of context. 2.2 Well, isn't it a fact that they sold Ο. 23 their shares -- that GlassBridge sold its shares to FinTech for \$2.00 per share? 24

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4040

25

Α.

Well, then I would argue that they

why don't you put the schedule up again. Thank you.

2.

- A. Okay. So what was the question?
- Q. The question was how long after -- you indicated that, you know, at some point Sport-BLX Securities stopped paying the fees. How long was the agreement in effect and Sport-BLX Securities paying the fees until it -- it stopped paying the fees?
- A. I -- I'm not exactly sure how long it was, but I think the amount of fees paid in -- was approximately \$600,000.00, but I'm not exactly sure of that number, and I'm not sure over what time period.
- Q. Okay. And you don't know how long the agreement was in -- in effect?
- MR. SACK: Objection to form. May call for a legal conclusion.
- Q. Let me ask you this. At some point, Mr. Hall, did -- did the parties terminate the agreement, the subscription agreement?
- A. As I recall, the -- there was what we referred to -- I don't know if it's in the document, but we referred to it as a trigger agreement where Sport-BLX, Incorporated could no longer perform the functions that Sport-BLX Securities had bargained

Page 734 1 for. 2. O. And so as a result did Sport-BLX 3 Securities terminate the agreement? I don't think it was a termination at 4 Α. 5 any one particular time. It was a period of time during which there was some discussion and 6 7 negotiation about how to deal with this. And who -- who were the participants in 8 O. 9 those discussions and negotiations? 10 Well, I think the initial discussions Α. 11 were Pete Rawlins and Joe De Perio. 12 And you indicated that the -- that Ο. 13 Sport-BLX was no longer able to provide the service 14 or service the -- the code and the platform 15 appropriately. Why was that? 16 Α. Well, --17 MR. SACK: Objection to form, but you 18 can answer. 19 There were a number of reasons, but Α. 20 one was the CTO quit, and then at some point the 21 number two in command on technology quit, so the 2.2 only real ongoing development was people at 23 Sport-BLX Securities because Sport-BLX, Incorporated couldn't fulfill any of its obligations. 24 Do you recall when it was -- first of 25 Q.

Page 735 all, who was the CTO of Sport-BLX? 1 2. Α. It was Ryan Fisch. 3 And do you recall when he quit? 0. I -- I think it was in the -- oh, I 4 Α. 5 think it was August or so -- I don't recall exactly. I think it was August of 2020. 6 7 And do you recall why he quit? Ο. Well, they never quite tell you, but 8 Α. 9 one thing I do know, he spent a lot of -- he had a lot of interest in the alternative trading system 10 11 concept, or ATS. I think one of the projects he 12 spoke about a lot and was interested in pursuing was 13 an ATS, so I think over time he realized that that 14 wouldn't likely happen. You know, that was one of 15 the things that I knew was troubling to him, but I 16 don't really know specifically why he quit. 17 Okay. Now, at -- you also indicated Q. 18 that the second in command or the second ranked, you 19 know, technology officer quit too. When -- do you 20 recall when that was? 21 Α. I think early 2021. 2.2 Okay. At some point did the -- did the Ο. 23 discussion turn to Sport-BLX Securities purchasing 24 the source code and platform from Sport-BLX, Inc.? 25 Α. Yes.

Q. Mr. Hall, maybe -- regardless of what the -- you know, what the particular provision of the agreement says, what was your understanding of Sport-BLX Securities, Inc.'s rights with respect to the trading platform and source code that you just described to us?

- A. Well, the Sport-BLX Securities was paying for the use of code, and if Sport-BLX, Incorporated were not able to support the code or went bankrupt or any situation that they couldn't fulfill their obligations, there needed to be some protection that Sport-BLX Securities didn't throw that money out the window for nothing. So ultimately if Sport-BLX, Incorporated defaulted and couldn't fulfill its obligations, Sport-BLX Securities can continue to use the code as -- use and modify the code as it sees fit.
- Q. Without paying fees or with paying fees?
- A. Without paying fees is my recollection.

MR. PEARLSON: Okay. Why don't we take a break here because I believe now is the time you need to take your break to do the call.

How much time do you think -- let's go

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4040

2.2

Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Hall, are you saying that as of December 2021, that Sport-BLX, Inc. had ceased providing the servicing of the -- that was required under the agreement?

2.2

MR. SACK: Objection to the form.

- A. Well, prior to that date, yes.
- Q. Okay. And -- and that's what you were referring to. Is there anything else you're referring to when -- when you say there was a triggering event because Sport-BLX ceased to perform its material obligations under the agreement?
- A. Well, no. Let's go through all of them then. Can we go back a page?

So "becomes insolvent," I think the supplier was, in fact, insolvent. It was unable to pay its debts. It did not -- letter (C), it did not file for bankruptcy. (D), (E)...

It had not yet terminated or permanently ceased its ongoing operations, so there were three -- three of the letters, I think, were -- could be applicable to Sport-BLX, Inc. at the time.

Q. So you considered there to have been triggering events that would have allowed Sport-BLX Securities to copy or take control of the -- of the source code and use it for its own purposes. Is

Page 744 1 that your testimony? 2. Α. Yes. Okay. And then it says -- it says, "as 3 Q. acknowledged in the previous board meeting, they 4 5 would investigate paying some consideration for its sole ownership." 6 7 Α. Are we -- are we back to the minutes? 8 Can you -- can you put the minutes back up? 9 Go ahead. 10 So at some point the board looked into Ο. 11 a payment from Sport-BLX Securities, Inc. to 12 Sport-BLX for the -- for the ownership, the sole 13 ownership of the code? I don't -- I don't know if "the board 14 looked into it" is the right characterization, but 15 16 yes, it was my recommendation to the board that 17 Sport-BLX Securities pay some consideration for the -- for the code. 18 19 Okay. And how did you go about -- how Ο. 20 did the board go about determining what the 21 appropriate purchase price was for the code? 2.2 Α. Well, the -- the company was that 23 time -- or prior to that, at the time of the trigger 24 event, was a GlassBridge subsidiary. Mr. Fisch had, 25 at one point, for purposes of GlassBridge's

Page 745 evaluation, I think, put a value on the -- on the 1 2. software at roughly \$200,000.00. 3 For what purpose had Mr. Fisch 0. undertaken that task to value the software or the --4 5 I should say the source code? MR. SACK: Objection to form. 6 7 Well, it says it in the minutes, that Α. for the 2019 audit Mr. Ruchalski had spoken to 8 9 Mr. Fisch, the CTO at the time, about what costs 10 could be capitalized, if any. So that was the 11 purpose. 12 Okay. And what -- what was the -- what 13 2019 audit is he referring to there or are you referring to there? 14 15 Α. I believe it's the 2019 audit of 16 GlassBridge. 17 Q. Okay. And then it says, "Mr. Fisch 18 wrote a memo stating that there were not any 19 takeaways from what ConsenSys built." Did you ever 20 see that memo? 21 I don't know if I specifically saw the Α. 2.2 memo. 23 Do you know what was meant there when 0. it says "that there were not any takeaways from what 24 ConsenSys built"? 25

Page 750 1 MR. PEARLSON: This is page 62 you're 2. on? 3 It doesn't matter. It's the same information. It's fine. 4 5 So here it's called related party Ο. 6 transaction. It's actually -- that's on page 62 of 7 the pdf. It talks about on December 21 at the beginning -- at the bottom of the page --8 9 MR. PEARLSON: What page are you on? 10 MR. TYRRELL: (Inaudible.) 11 MR. PEARLSON: I was on page 45. 12 Hold on one sec. Sorry. 13 Here you go. 14 You see where it says under "Sports Ο. 15 Technology Platform" on December '21 "SportBLX sold 16 proprietary code to S-BLX Securities, a related 17 party, for 225,000." Do you see that? 18 Α. I didn't see the first part. You said 19 Sport Tech- -- Sports Technology Platform? 20 MR. PEARLSON: Scroll up so he could 21 see it. 2.2 Α. Okay. There we go. 23 Okay. I can see it, yeah. 24 O. Okay. Is that consistent with your recollection as to the fact that there was a sale of 25

Page 751 1 the code and platform to Sport-BLX Securities for \$225,000.00? 2. 3 Well, that was part of it. Α. What else was there? 4 Ο. 5 The next section below. Α. Okay. This is all part of one 6 Ο. 7 transaction here? They were done on different days, but 8 Α. 9 they were part of the same transaction. GlassBridge 10 recorded it on different days, which is probably 11 just creating documents took another day or so, but 12 it's part of the same transaction. 13 You -- you might assume that 14 \$225,000.00 for code that was created for roughly \$2 15 million or some number bigger than the founders' 16 round was a low price. So from Sport-BLX 17 Securities' standpoint, they didn't really 18 necessarily need to buy it, they could just use it, 19 but there would be advantages to actually owning it 20 in terms of marketing. And once I realized that 21 effectively Mr. Salerno had destroyed most of the 2.2 possibilities of Sport-BLX's success, it was clear that was the mission, I realized that the best way 23 to get shareholders a value and to create this 24

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4040

ecosystem was to have the code in the same place as

25

the entity that was going to continue to do business.

From Sport-BLX Securities' standpoint, they didn't really have to pay anything, but I thought it was reasonable that they did. By the same token, it would be unreasonable for Sport-BLX Securities to write a check for some significant amount of money for code that it had already spent \$600,000.00, plus an awful lot of time and energy and employee time that went towards Sport-BLX, Inc. So to jump in and make the transaction fair, in my view, as close to fair as possible for both sides, we basically wrote off \$1.3 million or \$1.35 million of debt at Sport-BLX, Incorporated. So the total consideration was the cash plus the debt write-off. And if Sport-BLX Securities did pay a big amount of cash for the code, it would have gone to pay down debt anyway.

So by doing it this way, effectively Joe De Perio and myself wrote off debt that was owned by FinTech Debt Corp. to make it seem -- to make it not only fair to Sport-BLX Securities, but reasonable compensation to Sport-BLX, Inc. for the original cost of the code.

Q. Mr. Hall, as of the time of this sale,

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4040

25

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24